CERN-TH.6963/93
UGVA 07/622/93
August 1993

arXiv:hep-th/9309007v1 1 Sep 1993

On the integrability of N=2 Landau-Ginzburg models: A graph generalization of the Yang-Baxter equation

César Gómez[1*] and Germán Sierra[2*]

[1] *Département de Physique Théorique, Université de Genève*
CH–1211 Genève 4, Switzerland
e–mail: GOMEZC@SC2A.UNIGE.CH

[2] *Theory Division, CERN*
CH–1211 Genéve 23, Switzerland
e–mail: SIERRA@CC.CSIC.ES

Abstract

The study of the integrability properties of the N=2 Landau-Ginzburg models leads naturally to a graph generalization of the Yang-Baxter equation which synthetizes the well known vertex and RSOS Yang-Baxter equations.A non trivial solution of this equation is found for the t_2 perturbation of the A-models, which turns out to be intimately related to the Boltzmann weights of a Chiral-Potts model.

*Permanent address: Instituto de Matemáticas y Física Fundamental, CSIC; Serrano 123, E–28006 Spain.

Introduction

N=2 Landau-Ginzburg models have been extensively used to describe relevant and SUSY preserving perturbations of N=2 superconformal field theories [13]. The resulting massive field theories are in some cases integrable and the associated scattering S-matrices satisfy the bootstrap and factorization equations [2, 3] . Those Landau-Ginzburg superpotentials which are Morse functions contain in their spectrum all the soliton configurations which interpolate between the different critical points. Evenmore in some special cases a closed scattering theory ,in the sense of bootstrap [4], can be defined choosing as fundamental particles a set of Bogomolnyi solitons. Two main questions arise in the study of N=2 Landau-Ginzburg models: a) when the massive theory defined by a given non degenerated superpotential is integrable and b) which is the associated scattering S-matrix which satisfy the requirements of bootstrap and factorization.

During the last few years these two questions have been considered, which much or less succes, under different points of view: Toda theories [5], quantum affine algebras [6], holomorphic field theories [7], graph rings [8]. In this letter we shall present an algebraic framework which we hope will capture the integrability of the N=2 Landau-Ginzburg models. The cornerstone of our approach is a graph generalization of the Yang-Baxter equation, whose non triviality is illustrated with the t_2 perturbation of the A-models.

N=2 Landau-Ginzburg models: Graph Quantum Groups

The Landau-Ginzburg models that we shall consider have a N=2 supersymmetry algebra generated by the SUSY charges $Q^{\pm}, \bar{Q}^{\pm}$ together with the topological charges $T, \bar{T}$, fermion number operator $\mathcal{F}$ and momentum operators $P, \bar{P}$ satisfying the relations:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (Q^{\pm})^2 = (\bar{Q}^{\pm})^2 = & \{Q^+, \bar{Q}^-\} = \{Q^-, \bar{Q}^+\} = \ 0 \\ \{Q^+, Q^-\} = P, & \{\bar{Q}^+, \bar{Q}^-\} = \bar{P} \\ \{Q^+, \bar{Q}^+\} = T, & \{Q^-, \bar{Q}^-\} = \bar{T} \\ \left[\mathcal{F}, Q^{\pm}\right] = \pm Q^{\pm}, & \left[\mathcal{F}, \bar{Q}^{\pm}\right] = \mp \bar{Q}^{\pm} \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Each LG model is characterized by a superpotential whose critical points define the vertex of a graph. The links of this graph are associated to the fundamental Bogomolnyi solitons[1].

[1] The graph we are refering to is the same used in [7] for the classification of N=2 theories and it is

Let us denote by $a, b, \cdots$ the vertices of the LG-graph, then a Bogomolnyi soliton associated to the link (a, b) and rapidity θ give rise to a two dimensional irrep $\pi_{a,b}(\theta)$ of the N=2 algebra (1) given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{a,b}(\theta)(Q^-) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{m_{a,b}}e^{\theta/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \pi_{a,b}(\theta)(Q^+) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{m_{a,b}}e^{\theta/2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\pi_{a,b}(\theta)(\bar{Q}^+) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \omega_{a,b}\sqrt{m_{a,b}}e^{-\theta/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \pi_{a,b}(\theta)(\bar{Q}^-) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^*_{a,b}\sqrt{m_{a,b}}e^{-\theta/2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where

$$m_{a,b} = 2|\Delta_{a,b}|, \quad \omega_{a,b} = \tfrac{\Delta_{a,b}}{|\Delta_{a,b}|}, \quad \Delta_{a,b} = W_a - W_b \tag{3}$$

W_a and W_b in these equations denotes the values of the superpotential W at the critical points a and b respectively. The fermion number $f_{a,b}$ of the soliton connecting these critical points is obtained through the Hessian $H(x)$ of the superpotential $W(x)$ as:

$$exp(2\pi \mathrm{i} f_{a,b}) = phase\left(\frac{detH(b)}{detH(a)}\right) \tag{4}$$

Notice that these formula gives the soliton fermion number only modulo 1.

The N=2 algebra (1) admits a bialgebra structure given by the following comultiplication rules:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta Q^{\pm} &= Q^{\pm} \otimes \mathbf{1} + e^{\pm \mathrm{i}\pi\mathcal{F}} \otimes Q^{\pm} \\
\Delta \bar{Q}^{\pm} &= \bar{Q}^{\pm} \otimes \mathbf{1} + e^{\mp \mathrm{i}\pi\mathcal{F}} \otimes \bar{Q}^{\pm}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

From the theory of quantum groups we know that non trivial comultiplication rules, as the one shown above, yield non trivial intertwiners. The intertwiner for the N=2 LG models turn out to have a rather rich structure, made manifest by the proliferation of indices. Indeed a generic N=2 LG intertwiner depends on two sort of indices: the LG indices $a, b, \cdots$ which label the vacua of the LG superpotential and the N=2 indices $i, j, \cdots$

related in some cases with the dual of the normalized ring in the sense of reference [8]

which may take two values, say 0 or 1, depending on the state in the N=2 supermultiplet of the Bogomolnyi soliton. Hence the structure of the intertwiners of any N=2 model is condensed in the expresion:

$$S_{i\,j}^{k\,\ell}\begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{V}_{a,b}(\theta_1)\otimes\mathcal{V}_{b,c}(\theta_2)\rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{a,d}(\theta_2)\otimes\mathcal{V}_{d,c}(\theta_1) \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{a,b}(\theta)$ denotes the two dimensional space where the irrep (2) is defined. Strictely speaking the "intertwiner matrix" $S_{i\,j}^{k\,\ell}\begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$ will only exist for those sets of critical points a,b,c,d such that the pairs $(a,b),(b,c),(a,d)$ and (d,c) are links of the LG-graph and satisfy the parallelogram rule which guarantees an ellastic scattering:

$$m_{ab}=m_{dc}\quad,\quad m_{bc}=m_{ad} \tag{7}$$

After these definitions we can now write the equation satisfied by the intertwiner operator (6):

$$S\begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}(\theta_{12})(\pi_{a,b}(\theta_1)\otimes\pi_{b,c}(\theta_2))\Delta(g)=(\pi_{a,d}(\theta_2)\otimes\pi_{d,c}(\theta_1))\Delta(g)S\begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}(\theta_{12}) \tag{8}$$

where g is any element of the N=2 algebra. It is quite clear from the definition (6,8) that the intertwiner $S\begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}(\theta)$ is the natural candidate for becoming a scattering S-matrix between Bogomolnyi solitons (see fig.1). In this case equation (8) is the result of imposing the N=2 SUSY to the scattering process. Equation (8) is the LG analogue of the familiar intertwiner condition for Hopf algebras. For these algebras the two irreps appearing on both sides of the equation differ simply by a permutation. However for LG models this will not happen in general,i.e. the irrep $\pi_{a,b}$ (resp. $\pi_{b,c}$) cannot be identified a priori with the irrep $\pi_{d,c}$ (resp. $\pi_{a,d}$) eventhough the masses are the same (7). In this manner the LG models suggests a generalization of quantum groups where the LG-graph plays a crucial role. For this reason we shall use the name of graph quantum groups to denote the algebraic structure underlying these models.

The first equation defining a graph quantum group is therefore the intertwiner condition (8). As it is the case for usual quantum groups this equation has to be supplemented with what we shall call the graph-Yang-Baxter equation (see fig.2):

$$\sum_f \sum_{p_1,p_2,p_3} S^{p_1p_2}_{i_1i_2} \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}(u) S^{p_3j_3}_{p_2i_3} \begin{pmatrix} f & d \\ b & g \end{pmatrix}(u+v) S^{j_1j_2}_{p_1p_3} \begin{pmatrix} e & c \\ f & d \end{pmatrix}(v) =$$
$$\sum_f \sum_{p_1,p_2,p_3} S^{p_2p_3}_{i_2i_3} \begin{pmatrix} a & f \\ b & g \end{pmatrix}(v) S^{j_1p_1}_{i_1p_2} \begin{pmatrix} e & c \\ a & f \end{pmatrix}(u+v) S^{j_2j_3}_{p_1p_3} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ f & g \end{pmatrix}(u) \qquad (9)$$

In eq.(9) there are two kinds of sums involved. One is over the N=2 indices p_1, p_2, p_3 which is only restricted by the conservation of the fermion number , as in some vertex models, while the sum over the LG label f is restricted by the parallelogram rule (7) and recalls clearly the RSOS models [2].Indeed eq.(9) looks like the standard RSOS Yang-Baxter equation but with Boltzmann weights replaced by four by four matrices whose indices are contracted in the way prescribed by the vertex Yang-Baxter equation. In this way the LG models produce a "fusion" of both the RSOS and the vertex Yang-Baxter equations. The existence of solutions to this "fused" Yang-Baxter equation associated to a LG-graph is what guarantees the integrability of the corresponding LG model.

In the cases studied so far in the literature the solutions to eq. (9) factorize into a vertex and a RSOS part each one satisfying his own Yang-Baxter equation. The main result of this letter is to find solutions which do not have this factorized form.Before doing that let us review the previously known solutions to eq. (9).

Solutions to the graph-Yang-Baxter equation

i)t_1-perturbations of the A-models

The superpotential is given by :$W(x,t) = \frac{x^{k+2}}{k+2} - tx$.The critical points are the k+1 roots of unit $x_a = exp(2\pi \mathrm{i} a/k+1)(t=1)$ with $a = 1, \ldots, k+1$. The allowed parallelograms $\begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$ are those satisfying $a - b = d - c$ and $a - d = b - c$ [3] .Eq.(9) becomes a standard vertex Yang-Baxter equation with no summation over the LG indices. In this case it is even possible to map the solitons irreps (2) into irreps of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{Gl}(1,1))$ with $q = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}/k+1}$ [3] or equivalently to nilpotents irreps of $U_q(\hat{Sl}(2))$ with $q^4 = 1$ [9] .The R matrices of these quantum groups are automatically solutions of eq. (9). The physical S-matrix is finally obtained impossing bootstrap which in this case

[2] In eq.(9) we are assuming that there exist at most one kind of soliton , i.e. only one link or none, interpolating between two nodes of the graph

turns out to coincide with the decomposition rules of the quantum affine algebras and the factorization properties of the R matrices.

ii) t_k-perturbation of $W(x) = \frac{x^{k+2}}{k+2}$

This is the well known Chebyshev potential of type A. The LG-graph is the Coxeter diagram A_{k+1} (see fig. 3b) and since all the solitons have the same mass there is a sum over the LG labels in eq. (9).However the solution to the later equation factorizes into the product [3]:

$$S_{i\,j}^{k\,\ell}\begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}(\theta) = S^{(RSOS)}\begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}(\theta) \otimes S^{(N=2)k\,\ell}{}_{i\,j}(\theta) \tag{10}$$

where $S^{(N=2)}$ is the intertwiner R matrix of the fundamental representation of $U_q(\hat{Sl}(2))$ with $q^4 = 1$ and $S^{(RSOS)}$ are the ABF Boltzmann weights of the A models at criticality [10]. The later weights can also be writen in terms of the $q-6j$ symbols of $U_q(Sl(2))$ with $q^{k+2} = -1$. The origin of the factorization (10) lies in the fact that the ratios between different entries of the matrix $S_{i\,j}^{k\,\ell}\begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$,as predicted by the intertwiner eq.(8), are independent of the LG-labels. We shall next consider a situation where this "separation of degrees of freedom" does not occur so that the structure of the graph-Yang-Baxter appears in fully glory.

t_2-perturbation of $W(x) = \frac{x^{k+2}}{k+2}$

The LG model is defined by the superpotential:$W(x,t) = \frac{x^{k+2}}{k+2} - tx^2$.The LG-graph of fundamental solitons has the daisy shape of fig.3c with a central point, denoted by a star $*$, surrounded by k points lying on a circle which we label by $a = 1, \cdots, k$. For practical purposes we shall restrict ourselves to odd values of k. Equation (9) for the graph of figure 3c becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3) \sum_{p_1,p_2,p_3} & S_{i_1 i_2}^{p_1 p_2}\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & b \end{pmatrix}(\theta_{12}) S_{p_2 i_3}^{p_3 j_3}\begin{pmatrix} * & c \\ b & * \end{pmatrix}(\theta_{13}) S_{p_1 p_3}^{j_1 j_2}\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & c \end{pmatrix}(\theta_{23}) = \\ \sum_d \sum_{p_1,p_2,p_3} & S_{i_2 i_3}^{p_2 p_3}\begin{pmatrix} * & d \\ b & * \end{pmatrix}(\theta_{23}) S_{i_1 p_2}^{j_1 p_1}\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & d \end{pmatrix}(\theta_{13}) S_{p_1 p_3}^{j_2 j_3}\begin{pmatrix} * & c \\ d & * \end{pmatrix}(\theta_{12}) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We have slightly modified eq.(9) with the introduction of the factor $R(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3)$. It will play an important role in the discussion of the crossing symmetry in the next section.

We are forced, as for the Chebyshev potential, to sum over the LG labels, however the novelty here is that the solution to (11) cannot factorized into a RSOS piece times a N=2 piece. Such a separation of degrees of freedom is not possible in our case because , as follows from the intertwiner condition (8), the ratios between different entries of the S-matrix does depend on the LG-labels.We collect our results in table 1:

$k \quad \ell$ $i \quad j$	$S^{k\,\ell}_{i\,j}\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & b \end{pmatrix} / S^{0\,0}_{0\,0}\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & b \end{pmatrix}$	$S^{k\,\ell}_{i\,j}\begin{pmatrix} * & b \\ a & * \end{pmatrix} / S^{0\,0}_{0\,0}\begin{pmatrix} * & b \\ a & * \end{pmatrix}$
$1 \quad 1$ $1 \quad 1$	$\frac{cosh(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{2\pi i n}{k})}{cosh(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{2\pi i n}{k})}$	$exp(-\frac{4\pi i n}{k})$
$1 \quad 0$ $0 \quad 1$	$-\frac{i exp(2\pi i n/k) sinh(\frac{\theta}{2})}{cosh(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{2\pi i n}{k})}$	$-\frac{i exp(-2\pi i n/k) sinh(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{2\pi i n}{k})}{cosh\frac{\theta}{2}}$
$0 \quad 1$ $1 \quad 0$	$-\frac{i exp(-2\pi i n/k) sinh(\frac{\theta}{2})}{cosh(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{2\pi i n}{k})}$	$-\frac{i exp(-2\pi i n/k) sinh(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{2\pi i n}{k})}{cosh\frac{\theta}{2}}$
$1 \quad 0$ $1 \quad 0$	$\frac{cos(\frac{2\pi n}{k})}{cosh(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{2\pi i n}{k})}$	$\frac{exp(-2\pi i n/k) cos(\frac{2\pi n}{k})}{cosh\frac{\theta}{2}}$
$0 \quad 1$ $0 \quad 1$	$\frac{cos(\frac{2\pi n}{k})}{cosh(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{2\pi i n}{k})}$	$\frac{exp(-2\pi i n/k) cos(\frac{2\pi n}{k})}{cosh\frac{\theta}{2}}$

Table 1: Relations imposed by the N=2 intertwiner condition

where $n = a - b$. Notice that all the expressions depend explicitely on the LG labels modulo k. Each of these sets of matrices satisfy the free fermion condition [11]: $S^{00}_{00}S^{11}_{11} = S^{10}_{01}S^{01}_{10} + S^{10}_{10}S^{01}_{01}$,which is of course a consequence of the N=2 SUSY.

The t_2 perturbation is the simplest LG model which imposes the search of non factorizable solutions ,in the sense explained above, of the graph-Yang-Baxter equation. We now move on to present the solution of the graph-Yang-Baxter equation (11).The key for solving this equation comes from its similarity with the star-triangular equation of the Chiral-Potts model [12]. This analogy becomes more transparent in the IRF version of the Z_k invariant Chiral-Potts model, since the neutral element under the Z_k symmetry can be identified with the star point $*$ in the LG graph. Moreover the two kinds of S-matrices namely $S\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & b \end{pmatrix}$ and $S\begin{pmatrix} * & b \\ a & * \end{pmatrix}$, which described respectively soliton-antisoliton and antisoliton-soliton scatterings, correspond to horizontal and vertical Boltzmann weights

of the Chiral-Potts model. A particular case of eq.(11) is when all the N=2 indices $i, j, etc.$ are either 0 or 1. Then eq.(11) becomes the star-triangular equation of Chiral-Potts for the entries S_{00}^{00} or S_{11}^{11}. There is a great variety of solutions to this equation, however our search is limited by the fact that the ratio of the two solutions S_{00}^{00} and S_{11}^{11} must satisfy the constraints of table 1. The unique solution we find to this problem is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{0\,0}^{0\,0}\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & b \end{pmatrix}(\theta) &= S(\theta)\prod_{r=0}^{a-b-1}\frac{cosh(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} r}{k})}{cosh(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} r}{k})} \\ S_{0\,0}^{0\,0}\begin{pmatrix} * & b \\ a & * \end{pmatrix}(\theta) &= \bar{S}(\theta)e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}(a-b)/k}\prod_{r=0}^{a-b-1}\frac{sinh(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} r}{k})}{sinh(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}(r+1)}{k})} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $S(\theta)$ and $\bar{S}(\theta)$ denote:

$$S(\theta) = S_{0\,0}^{0\,0}\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & a \end{pmatrix}(\theta), \qquad \bar{S}(\theta) = S_{0\,0}^{0\,0}\begin{pmatrix} * & a \\ a & * \end{pmatrix}(\theta) \tag{13}$$

Eqs.(12) are Z_k invariant, i.e. depend on a-b modulo k, and they satisfy the star-triangular equation with the R factor being given by:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3) &= \frac{f(\theta_{12})f(\theta_{23})}{f(\theta_{13})} \\ f(\theta) &= \frac{\bar{S}(\theta)}{S(\theta)}\sum_{m=1}^{k} e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} m/k}\prod_{r=0}^{m-1}\frac{sinh(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} r}{k})}{sinh(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}(r+1)}{k})} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The solution (12) and the corresponding one for S_{11}^{11} coincide in fact with the Boltzmann weights of a Z_k invariant Chiral-Potts model characterized by a parameter $\omega = e^{4\pi \mathrm{i}/k}$, "moduli" $k' = 1$ and "chiral angles":

$$\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}(k \mp 2), \quad \bar{\phi} = \frac{\pi}{2}(k \pm 2) \ \text{ for } \ S_{00}^{00} \ \ (S_{11}^{11}) \tag{15}$$

For k odd the parameter ω is a k^{th} primitive root of unit while for k even the degree of the root is $\frac{k}{2}$. Hence we expect certain differences for the k even case as compared to the k odd case.Eq.(15) suggests some kind of relation of these models with the superintegrable models of reference [13] .

Once we know the values of S_{00}^{00} the rest of the entries of the matrix S follow from table 1. This huge S-matrix does satisfy the graph-Yang-Baxter equation (11), a fact

which by no means follows a priori from the existence of the partial solutions (12). This "miracle" is certainly due to the integrability of the LG model under study. To get a feeling of the intricacy of the graph-Yang-Baxter equation it is quite useful to consider the braid limit ($\theta \to \infty$) of the solution just found. The braid matrix so obtained is given in table 2 and satisfies the graph-Yang-Baxter equation without spectral parameter (we have choosen a parametrization where the parameter R is given by the gaussian sum $R(braid) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} q^{-n^2+n}$).

$k \quad \ell$ $i \quad j$	$R_{i\,j}^{k\,\ell}\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & b \end{pmatrix}$	$R_{i\,j}^{k\,\ell}\begin{pmatrix} * & b \\ a & * \end{pmatrix}$
$0 \quad 0$ $0 \quad 0$	q^{n^2-n}	q^{-n^2+n}
$1 \quad 1$ $1 \quad 1$	q^{n^2+n}	q^{-n^2-n}
$1 \quad 0$ $0 \quad 1$	$-\mathrm{i}q^{n^2+n}$	$-\mathrm{i}q^{-n^2-n}$
$0 \quad 1$ $1 \quad 0$	$-\mathrm{i}q^{n^2-n}$	$-\mathrm{i}q^{-n^2+n}$
$1 \quad 0$ $1 \quad 0$	$q^{n^2}(q^n + q^{-n})$	$q^{-n^2}(q^n + q^{-n})$
$0 \quad 1$ $0 \quad 1$	0	0

Table 2: A graph braid R-matrix

where $n = a - b$ and $q = \omega^{1/2} = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}/k}$.

This concludes our discussion of the integrability of the $t_2 - ALG$ model. In the next section we shall discuss the interpretation of the solution found previously as scattering matrices of the solitons of this model.

Scattering Theory

A good scattering theory requires crossing symmetry which in our case amounts to the relation:

$$S_{i\,j}^{k\,\ell}\begin{pmatrix} * & b \\ a & * \end{pmatrix}(\theta) = S_{j}^{\bar{\imath}\,k}{}_{\bar{\ell}}\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & b \end{pmatrix}(\mathrm{i}\pi-\theta) \tag{16}$$

where we have assumed that the antiparticle of the soliton (a,$*$) with SUSY label $i = 0,1$ is the antisoliton ($*$,a) with SUSY label $\bar{\imath}$ =1,0. Using table 1 and eq.(12) we obtain that crossing symmetry holds provided:

$$S(\mathrm{i}\pi-\theta) = -\mathrm{i}tanh(\frac{\theta}{2}) \quad \bar{S}(\theta) \tag{17}$$

This equation together with (14) implies the following interesting relation for the function $f(\theta)$:

$$f(\theta)\ \ f(\mathrm{i}\pi-\theta) = k \tag{18}$$

whose main consequence is that the factor $R(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3)$ cannot be set equal to one , as one could naively expect. A reasonable choice is $R = f(\theta) = \sqrt{k}$ so that R can be viewed as a counting factor which compensates the asymmetry present in the graph-Yang-Baxter equation (11). This phenomena presumably happens also for other LG graphs with a daisy shape.

A second strange fact appears in connection with the unitarity properties of the S-matrices. Indeed unitarity implies in our case:

$$\begin{aligned} S\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & b \end{pmatrix}(\theta)S\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & b \end{pmatrix}(-\theta) = &\quad \mathbf{1} \\ \sum_b S\begin{pmatrix} * & a \\ b & * \end{pmatrix}(\theta)S\begin{pmatrix} * & b \\ c & * \end{pmatrix}(-\theta) = &\quad \delta_{a,c} \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

However it is not difficult to see that these two equations are incompatible with crossing, which indicates that the scattering theory is not complete.The solution of this problem requires a careful analysis of the spectrum of bound states and the bootstrap equations that we postpone to a later paper. In any case one expects the bootstrap to work, just as Yang-Baxter does, in a highly non trivial way, due again to the interplay between various degrees of freedom.

In this letter we show that the understanding of integrable N=2 LG models requires new mathematical structures which are in a certain sense a synthesis of well established

objects.In this direction we introduced the notion of graph quantum groups which leads naturally to the graph-Yang-Baxter equation. These ideas are illustrated with the study of the t_2 perturbation of the A-models where the unification of structures ,in the sense explained above ,occurs for the first time.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thanks M.Ruiz–Altaba for discussions. The research of C.Gomez is supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

Figure Captions

Fig.1.- Graphic representation of the intertwiner S-matrix.

Fig.2.- Graph-Yang-Baxter equation.

Fig.3.- Graphs associated to the following perturbations of the type A Landau-Ginzburg models: $t_1 \leftrightarrow a, t_k \leftrightarrow b$ and $t_2 \leftrightarrow c$.

References

[1] C.Vafa and N.P.Warner, Phys.Lett.B218 (1989) 51.

E.Martinec,Phys.Lett.B217 (1989) 431.

D.Gepner,Phys.Lett.B222 (1989) 207.

W.Lerche,C.Vafa and N.P.Warner,Nucl.Phys.B324 (1989) 427.

[2] A.B.Zamolodchikov, JETP Lett. 46 (1987) 160.

V.A.Fateev and A.B.Zamolodchikov, in "Physics and Mathematics of strings", World Scientific (1990) p.245.

T.Eguchi and S.K.Yang, Phys.Lett. B224 (1989) 373.

[3] P.Fendley,S.D.Mathur,C.Vafa and N.P.Warner, Phys.Lett. B243 (1990) 257.

P.Fendley and K.Intriligator, Nucl.Phys. B372 (1992) 533; B380 (1992) 265.

D.Bernard and A. LeClair, Phys.Lett. B247 (1990) 309.

[4] A.B.Zamolodchikov and Al.B.Zamolodchikov, Ann.Phys. 120 (1979) 235.

[5] P.Fendley, W.Lerche,S.D.Mathur and N.P.Warner, Nucl.Phys. B348 (1991) 66.

T.Hollowood, Princeton preprint PUPT-1286,HEPTH-911001.

W.Lerche and N.P.Warner, Nucl.Phys. B358 (1991) 571.

[6] N.Yu.Reshetikhin and F.Smirnov, Comm.Math.Phys. 131 (1990) 157.

D.Bernard and A.LeClair, Comm.Math.Phys.142 (1991) 99.

G.Felder and A. LeClair, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A7,Suppl.1A (1992) 239.

F.Smirnov and A.LeClair,Cornell preprint CLNS 91-1056.

A.LeClair,D.Nemeschansky and N.P.Warner, Nucl.Phys.B390 (1993) 653.

[7] S.Cecotti and C.Vafa, Nucl.Phys. B367 (1991) 359, "On the classification of N=2 SUSY theories", HUPT-92/A064,SISSA-203/92/EP.

[8] P.DiFrancesco, F.Lesage and J.B.Zuber, preprint SPhT 93/057;hep-th/9306018.

[9] M.Ruiz–Altaba,Phys.Lett.B279 (1992) 326.

A.Berkovich,C.Gomez and G.Sierra, "Spin-Anisotropy Commensurable Chains: Quantum Group Symmetries and N=2 SUSY" to appear in Nucl.Phys B.

[10] G.E.Andrews, R.J.Baxter and P.J.Forrester, J.Stat.Phys. 35 (1984) 193.

[11] C.Fan and F.Y.Wu, Phys.Rev. B2 (1970) 723.

[12] H.Au-Yang,B.M.McCoy,J.H.H.Perk,S.Tang and M.L.Yau, Phys.Lett. A123 (1987) 219.

R.J.Baxter, J.H.H.Perk and H.Au-Yang, Phys.Lett. A128 (1988) 138.

[13] G.von Gehlen and V.Rittenberg, Nucl.Phys. B257 [FS14] (1985) 351.